Jeff Prugh
L.A. Times
20000 Prairie St.,
Chatsworth, CA 91311

3/26/93

Dear Jeff,

Reur 23, if you decide to shorten and refocus the book, would not the previously undisclosed transcript suggest how to atart it off? I remember the incident from the book. Especially with it being withheld from the jury. Which also means the defense.

If there was anything on the wire on the Commercial Appeal Army intelligence story of a week ago Sunday I'd appeciate a copy, as I would if the Times carried anything. I just got, I think, that story today but have not had time to open the envelope.

Glad to have the Bugliosi story. He is either a liar or he spent those 500 hours reading the theory books.

What he says about the official evidence is not what it says and what he improvised is likewise fictional.

As a lawyer he knows very well that the apprehension of the conspirators is not needed to establish that there was a conspiarcy.

He does not know crime or history if he says that conspiracies cannot be kept secret.

How many want to come forward and then hang?

If he were not intendedly ignorant he would know that there is the most solid evidence that there was a conspiracy.

The simplest ~~proof~~ proof the Commission did publish. The best shots in the country, under enormously improved conditions, could not duplicate the shooting attributed to the duffer, Oswald, Not in time and not in accuracy. With the artificial conditions ever so much improved.

More mail awaits me. Thanks and our best,

Harold

But omitted from the Report

I'm glad to get such stories for what I learn from them and for archival purposes.